

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,549	12/22/2003	John F. Butler JR.	370022-00002-1	5795
75	90 11/28/2006		EXAM	INER
Debra Z. Anderson			MORILLO, JANELL COMBS	
	Cherin & Mellott, LLC		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
44th Floor 600 Grant Stree	t		1742	
Pittsburgh, PA				,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۷,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,549	BUTLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 December 2003.		
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
, , ,	accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,,,,,	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in App	olication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage	9
application from the International Bu	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/743,549 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-38, drawn to Al-Si-Mg alloy, classified in class 148, subclass 415.
- II. Claims 39-60, drawn to method of heat treating an aluminum alloy, classified in class 148, subclass 688.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make a materially different product such as an Al-Zn-Mg-Si-Cu 7000 series solution heated alloy.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Harry Hild on May 16, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1742

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,549 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 22, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLER

TECHNOLOGY CONTEN 17 00